

HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE
Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

HCO BULLETIN OF 20 DECEMBER 1971

Remimeo

C/S Series 72

USE OF CORRECTION LISTS

A current survey shows that the weakest point in C/Sing done in orgs is failure to use prepared lists for case correction.

There are some other points. For some reason C/Ses are being inventive instead of following the C/S Series and doing standard repairs and grades.

Probably the failure to use prepared correction lists derails the use of standard actions.

There are very few actions which do not have their own correction lists.

THERE IS NOTHING IN DIANETICS AND SCIENTOLOGY AS MIRACULOUSLY WORKABLE AS CORRECTION LISTS.

The only things which prevent the list from working are:

- a. AUDITOR'S METERING
- b. AUDITOR'S TRs.

METERING

When the auditor's meter is habitually placed where he cannot see (1) the meter needle, (2) the worksheet and (3) the pc **WITH ONE DIRECTED LOOK**, then he misses reads.

All three have to be seen at once.

The faults are:

- i. Eyesight poor
- ii. Glasses rims obscure one while looking at another
- iii. Position of the meter.

It is a standard cramming action to look into these points **WHENEVER A CORRECTION LIST IS SAID TO BE BLANK.**

For example a GF is done by Auditor A on Monday. It is done again by Auditor B on Tuesday. Reads are found by B. This means Auditor A is missing reads.

THIS IS FAR MORE COMMON THAN BELIEVED.

TRs

When an auditor can't be heard or is overwhelming the pc, the list won't be valid.

An auditor's TRs show up more quickly on a correction list than anything else.

A pc ARC broken by TRs 0 to 4 will not read properly on a correction list.

NUMBERS OF LISTS

The number of correction lists is large.

It is unthinkable to do Word Clearing without ever using a Word Clearing Correction List. Yet we find folders with bogged Word Clearing sessions where the list was never used.

There is the Green Form for general case upset, the Green Green Form for Solo, L1C for ARC breaks over a period, L3B for Dianetic bogs, L4B for listing and nulling goofs, Int RD Correction List for Int-Ext corrections, a Power Correction List for Power, GF 40R for resistive cases, C/S 53 and Hi-Low TA for TA misbehavior, L7 for Clearing Course, and others.

C/Ses trying to “solve cases” without using correction lists is like trying to repair flat tires without puncture patches. It just CAN’T BE DONE.

THE PRIMARY TOOL OF A C/S IS PREPARED CORRECTION LISTS.

It is not inventive ways of “solving cases.”

METHOD OF USE

Where you have inexpert auditors, you always order Method 5, which is just a full rapid assessment. Then the C/S sorts out the reads and C/Ses what to do as *very* well covered on the lists themselves and the C/S Series.

Then the auditor does the C/S.

A Green Form is *always* done this way. It will bog on any other method like 3.

There are different methods of handling lists. L1C is always done Method 3, carrying each read as it is found earlier-similar to F/N.

A GF 40R is done Method 3 and then the engrams are run for each read where engrams are indicated.

It’s up to a C/S to use correction lists, to coach his auditors into proper list use and to get corrected any misuse.

A C/S who can’t or doesn’t use prepared correction lists isn’t a C/S at all but a “person puzzled about cases.”

Correction lists, standard programs and the Grade Chart and grade commands and materials.

These are the tools of the C/S.

There are NO others.

A C/S is one who uses these things. He is supervising that they are used when they are supposed to be.

L. RON HUBBARD
Founder

LRH:nt.rd.gm